

"Sense" in Expressive Music Performance: Data Acquisition, Computational Studies, and Models

Werner Goebl¹, Simon Dixon¹, Giovanni De Poli², Anders Friberg³, Roberto Bresin³, and Gerhard Widmer^{1,4}

About this Chapter

This chapter gives an introduction to basic directions of current research in expressive music performance. A special focus is given on the various methods to acquire performance data either during a performance (e.g., through computer-monitored instruments) or from audio recordings. We then survey computational approaches to formalise and model the various aspects in expressive music performance. Future challenges and open problems are discussed briefly at the end of the chapter.

178

6.1. Introduction 179

6.1 Introduction

Millions of people are regularly attending live music events or listening to recordings of music performances. What drives them to do so is hard to pin down with certainty, and the reasons for it might be manifold. But while enjoying the music, they are all listening to (mostly) human-made music that contains a specific human expression, whatever kind it might be — what they hear makes intuitive sense to them. Without this expressivity the music would not attract people; it is an integral part of the music.

Given the central importance of expressivity (not only in music, but in all communication modes and interaction contexts), it is not surprising that human expression and expressive behaviour have become a domain of intense scientific study. In the domain of music, much research has focused on the act of *expressive music performance*, as it is commonly and most typically found in classical music: the deliberate shaping of the music by the performer, the imposing of expressive qualities onto an otherwise 'dead' musical score via controlled variation of parameters such as intensity, tempo, timing, articulation, etc. Early attempts at quantifying this phenomenon date back to the beginning of the 20th century, and even earlier than that.

If we wish to precisely measure and analyse every detail of an expressive music performance (onset timing, timbre and intensity, duration, etc), we end up with huge amounts of data that quickly become unmanageable. Since the first large-scale, systematic investigations into expression in music performance (usually of classical music) in the 1930s, this has always been a main problem, which was controlled either by reducing the amount of music investigated to some seconds of music, or by limiting the number of performances studied to one or two. Recent approaches try to overcome this problem by using modern computational methods in order to study, model, and understand musical performance in its full complexity.

In the past ten years, some very comprehensive overview papers have been published on the various aspects of music performance research. The probably most cited is Alf Gabrielsson's chapter in Diana Deutsch's book "Psychology of Music" [Gabrielsson, 1999], in which he reviewed over 600 papers in this field published until approximately 1995. In a follow-up paper, he added and discussed another 200 peer-reviewed contributions that appeared until 2002 [Gabrielsson, 2003]. A cognitive-psychological review has been contributed by Palmer [1997] summarising empirical research that focuses on cognitive aspects of music performance such as memory retrieval, anticipatory planning, or motor control. The musicologist's perspective is represented by two major edited books devoted exclusively to music performance research

¹Austrian Research Institute for Artificial Intelligence (OFAI), Vienna

²Department of Information Engineering, University of Padova;

³Department of Speech, Music, and Hearing, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm;

⁴Department of Computational Perception, Johannes Kepler University, Linz

[Rink, 1995, 2002]. Lately, more introductory chapters highlight the various methodological issues of systematic musicological performance research [Rink, 2003, Clarke, 2004, Cook, 2004, Windsor, 2004]. Two recent contributions surveyed the diversity of computational approaches to modelling expressive music performance [De Poli, 2004, Widmer and Goebl, 2004]. Parncutt and McPherson [2002] attempted to bridge the gap between research on music performance and music practice by bringing together two authors from each of the two sides for each chapter of their book.

Considering this variety of overview papers, we aim in this chapter to give a systematic overview on the more technological side of accessing, measuring, analysing, studying, and modeling expressive music performances. As a start, we survey the current literature of the past century on various ways of obtaining expression-related data from music performances. Then, we review current computational models of expressive music performance. In a final section we briefly sketch possible future directions and open problems that might be tackled by future research in this field.

6.2 Data Acquisition and Preparation

This section is devoted to very practical issues of obtaining precise empirical data on expressive performance. We can distinguish basically two different strategies for obtaining information on music performance. The first is to monitor performances during the production process with various measurement devices (MIDI pianos, accelerometers, movement sensors, video systems, etc.). Specific performance parameters can be accessed directly (hammer velocity of each played tone, bow speed, fingering, etc.). The other way is to extract all these relevant data from the recorded audio signal. This method has the disadvantage that some information easily to extract during performance is almost impossible to gain from the audio domain (consider, for instance, the sustain pedal on the piano). The advantage, however, is that we now have more than a century of recorded music at our disposal that could serve as a valuable resource for various kinds of scientific investigation. In the following sub-sections, we discuss the various approaches for monitoring and measuring music performance, and survey the major empirical performance studies that used them. As will be seen, by far the largest part of research has been done on piano performances.

6.2.1 Using Specially Equipped Instruments

Before computers and digital measurement devices were invented and readily available for everyone, researchers employed a vast variety of mechanical and electrical measurement apparati to capture all sorts of human or mechanical movements during performance. We will review the most important of them, in chronological order, from rather old to state-of-the-art.

Mechanical and Electro-Mechanical Setups

Among the first to record the movement of piano keys were Binet and Courtier [1895], who used a 6-mm caoutchouc rubber tube placed under the keys that was connected to a cylindric graphical recorder that captured continuous air pressure resulting from striking different keys on the piano. They investigated some basic pianistic tasks such as playing trills, connecting tones, or passing-under of the thumb in scales with exemplary material. In the first of the two contributions of this study, Ebhardt [1898] mounted metal springs on a bar above the strings that closed an electrical shutter when the hammer was about to touch the strings. The electric signal was recorded with a kymograph and timed with a 100-Hz oscillator. He studied the timing precision of simple finger tapping and playing scales. Further tasks with binary and ternary metrum revealed some characteristic timing patterns (e.g., a lengthening of the time interval before an accentuated onset). Onset and offset timing of church hymn performances were investigated by Sears [1902]. He equipped a reed organ with mercury contacts that registered key depression of 10 selected keys. This information was recorded on four tracks on the surface of a smoked kymograph drum. He studied several temporal aspects of performances by four organ players, such as duration of the excerpts, bars, and individual note values, accent behavior, or note overlap (articulation).

A multitude of mechanical measurement devices were introduced by Ortmann [1925, 1929] in studies on physiological determinants of piano playing. To investigate the different behaviors of the key, he mounted a tuning fork to the side of one piano key that wrote wave traces into smoked paper which varied with the speed of the key. With this setup, he was one of the first to study the response of the key in different pianistic playing techniques. For assessing finger movements, Ortmann [1929, p. 230] used a custom-built mechanical apparatus with non-flexible aluminum strips that, on one side, were connected to either the finger (proximal phalanx) or the key surface and, on the other side, wrote onto a revolving drum. With this apparatus continuous displacement of finger and key could be recorded and analysed. Another mechanical system was the "Pantograph" [Ortmann, 1929, p. 164], a parallelogram lever construction to record lateral

arm movement. For other types of movement, he used active optical systems. The motion of a tiny light bulb attached to the wrist or the finger left a trace on a photo plate (the room was kept in very subdued light) when the shutter of the photo camera remained open for the entire duration of the movement.

Similar active markers mounted on head, shoulder, elbow, and wrist were used by Bernstein and Popova in their important study in 1930 [reported by Kay et al., 2003] to study the complex interaction and coupling of the limbs in piano playing. They used their "kymocyclographic camera" to record the movements of the active markers. A rotating shutter allowed the light of the markers to impinge on the constantly moving photographic film. With this device they could record up to 600 instances of the movement per second.

Piano Rolls as a Data Source

A special source of expression data are piano rolls for reproducing pianos by different manufacturers (e.g., Welte-Mignon, Hupfeld, Aeolian Duo-Art, Ampico). A number of renowned pianists made recordings on these devices in the early part of the 20th century [Bowers, 1972, Hagmann, 1984]. Such pianos were the first means to record and store artistic music performances before the gramophone was invented. Starting in the late 1920s, scientists took advantage of this source of data and investigated various aspects of performance. Heinlein [1929a,b, 1930] used Duo-Art rolls by the Aeolian company to study pedal use of four pianists playing Schumann's Träumerei. Rolls of the same company were the basis of Vernon's 1936 study. He investigated vertical synchronisation of the tones in a chord [see Goebl, 2001]. Hartmann [1932] used Hupfeld "Animatic Rolls" and provided a very detailed study on tone and bar durations as well as note onset asynchronies in two recordings (by Josef Pembaur and Harold Bauer) of the first movement of Beethoven's "Moonlight Sonata" Op. 27 No. 2. Since the precise recording procedures used by these companies are still unknown (they were deliberately held back for commercial reasons), the authenticity of these rolls is sometimes questionable [Hagmann, 1984, Gottschewski, 1996]. For example, the Welte-Mignon system was able to simultaneously control dynamics only for keyboard halves. Hence, emphasising the melody note and playing the rest of the chord tones more softly was only possible when the melody tone was played at a different point in time than the others [Gottschewski, 1996, pp. 26-42]. Although we know today that pianists anticipate melody notes [Palmer, 1996b, Repp, 1996c, Goebl, 2001], the Welte-Mignon rolls cannot be taken literally as a source for studying note asynchronies [as done by Vernon, 1936]. The interpretation of piano rolls must be done with care, keeping in mind the conditions of their production. There are currently some private attempts to systematically scan piano rolls and transform them into standard symbolic format (e.g., MIDI). However, we are not aware of any scientific project concerned with this.

The Iowa Piano Camera

During the 1930's, Carl E. Seashore guided a research group that focused on different aspects of music performance, namely the singing voice, violin playing, and piano performance [Seashore, 1932, 1936b,a]. They developed various measurement setups for scientific investigation, among them the "Iowa Piano Camera" [Henderson et al., 1936] that optically captured onset and offset times and hammer velocity of each key and additionally the movement of the two pedals. It was therefore a complete and rather precise device that was not topped until the advent of modern computer-controlled pianos [such as the Disklavier or the Bösendorfer SE, see Goebl and Bresin, 2003]. Each hammer is equipped with a shutter that controls light exposure of a moving film. The hammer shutter interrupts the light exposure on the film twice: a first time from 24 to 12 mm before the hammer touches the strings, and a second time at hammer-string contact. The average hammer speed of the last 12 mm of the hammer's travel can be inferred from the distance on the film between these two interrupts (today's computer-controlled pianos take the average speed of the final 5 mm). According to Skinner and Seashore [1936], the temporal resolution is around 10 ms. The hammer velocity is quantised into 17 dynamics categories [Henderson, 1936]. With this system, the IOWA group performed several studies with professional pianists. Henderson [1936] had two professionals play the middle section of Chopin's Nocturne Op. 15 No. 3. In this very comprehensive study, they examined temporal behavior, phrasing, accentuation, pedalling, and chord asynchronies. Skinner and Seashore [1936] analysed repeated performances of pieces by Beethoven and Chopin and found high timing consistency within the pianists.

Henry Shaffer's Photocell Bechstein

After the efforts of Seashore's research group at Iowa, it took over 40 years before a new group of researchers used modern technology to capture piano performance. It was L. Henry Shaffer at Exeter who equipped each of the 88 keys of a Bechstein grand piano with pairs of photocells to capture the essential expressive parameters of piano performance [Shaffer, 1980, 1981, 1984, Shaffer et al., 1985, Shaffer and Todd, 1987, Shaffer, 1992]. The optical registration of the action's

movements had the advantage of not affecting the playability of the piano. The photocells were mounted in the piano action in pairs, each capturing the moment of the hammer's transit. One was placed to register the instant of hammer-string contact, the other one the resting position of the hammer. The position of the two pedals were monitored by micro switches and stored as 12-bit words on the computer. Each such event was assigned a time stamp rounded to the nearest microsecond. The sensor at the strings yielded the note onset time, the one at the hammer's resting position (when the hammer returns) the note offset time. The time difference between the two sensors was an inverse estimate of the force at which the key was depressed. This technology is in principle identical to the computer-monitored pianos that are commercially available now (e.g., the Yamaha Disklavier series or the Bösendorfer SE).

Studies with Synthesiser Keyboards or Digital Pianos

Before computer-monitored acoustic pianos became widely available, simple synthesiser key-boards or digital pianos were used to capture expressive data from music performances. These devices provide timing and loudness data for each performed event through the standardised digital communications protocol MIDI (Musical Instrument Digital Interface) [Huber, 1999]. However, such keyboards do not provide a realistic performance setting for advanced pianists, because the response of the keys is very different from an acoustic piano and the synthesised sound (especially with extensive use of the right pedal) does not satisfy the trained ears of highly skilled pianists.

Still, such electronic devices were used for various general expression studies [e.g., Palmer, 1989, 1992, Repp, 1994a,b, 1995c, Desain and Honing, 1994]. Bruno Repp later repeated two of his studies that were first performed with data from a digital piano (one concerned with legato articulation, Repp, 1995c, the other with the use of the right pedal, Repp, 1996b) on a computer-controlled grand piano [Repp, 1997c,b, respectively]. Interestingly, the results of both pairs of studies were similar to each other, even though the acoustic properties of the digital piano were considerably different from the grand piano.

The Yamaha Disklavier System

Present performance studies dealing with piano performances generally make use of commercially available computer-controlled acoustic pianos. Apart from systems that can be built into

a piano [e.g., Autoklav, Pianocorder, see Coenen and Schäfer, 1992], the most common is the Disklavier system by Yamaha. The first computer-controlled grand pianos were available from 1989 onwards. The Mark IV series that is currently available includes also a computer with screen and several high-level functions such as an automatic accompaniment system. From 1998, Yamaha introduced their high-end PRO series of Disklaviers that involves an extended MIDI format to store more than 7-bit velocity information (values from 0 to 127) and information on key release.

6.2. Data Acquisition and Preparation

There were few attempts to assess the Disklavier's accuracy in recording and reproducing performances. Coenen and Schäfer [1992] compared various reproducing systems (among them a Disklavier DG2RE and aa SE225) with respect to their usability for reproducing compositions for mechanical instruments. More systematic tests on recording and reproduction accuracy were performed by Goebl and Bresin [2001, 2003] using accelerometer registration to inspect key and hammer movements during recording and reproduction.

Yamaha delivers both upright and grand piano versions of its Disklavier system. The upright model was used for several performance studies [Palmer and van de Sande, 1993, Palmer and Holleran, 1994, Repp, 1995a,b, 1996c,a,d, 1997d,a]. The Yamaha Disklavier grand piano was even more widely used. Moore [1992] combined data from a Disklavier grand piano with electromyographic recordings of the muscular activity of four performers playing trills. Behne and Wetekam [1994] recorded student performances of the theme of Mozart's K.331 sonata on a Disklavier grand piano and studied systematic timing variations of the Siciliano rhythm. As mentioned above, Repp repeated his work on legato and pedalling on a Disklavier grand piano [Repp, 1997c,b]. Juslin and Madison [1999] used a Disklavier grand piano to record and play back different (manipulated) performances of two melodies to assess listeners' ability to recognise simple emotional categories. Bresin and Battel [2000] analysed multiple performances recorded on a Disklavier grand piano of Mozart's K.545 sonata in terms of articulation strategies. Clarke and Windsor [2000] used recordings made on a Disklavier grand piano for perceptual evaluation of real and artificially created performances. A short piece by Beethoven was recorded on a Disklavier grand piano played by one [Windsor et al., 2001] and by 16 professional pianists [Timmers et al., 2002, Timmers, 2002] in different tempi. Timing characteristics of different types of grace notes were investigated. Riley-Butler [2002] used a Disklavier grand piano in educational settings. She presented students with piano roll representations of their performances and observed considerable increase of learning efficiency with this method.

Bösendorfer's SE System

The SE ("Stahnke Electronics") System dates back to the early 1980s when the engineer Wayne Stahnke developed a reproducing system in cooperation with the MIT Artificial Intelligence Laboratory. It was built into a Bösendorfer Imperial grand piano [Roads, 1986, Moog and Rhea, 1990]. A first prototype was ready in 1985; the system was officially sold by Kimball (at that time owner of Bösendorfer) starting from summer 1986. This system was very expensive and only few academic institutions could afford it. Until the end of its production, only about three dozen of these systems have been built and sold. In principle, the SE works like the Disklavier system (optical sensors register hammershank speed and key release, and linear motors reproduce final hammer velocity). However, its recording and reproducing capabilities are superior even compared with other much younger systems [Goebl and Bresin, 2003]. Despite its rare occurrence in academic institutions, it was used for performance research in some cases.

Palmer and Brown [1991] performed basic tests on the relationship between hammer velocity and peak amplitude of the resulting sound. Repp [1993] tried to estimate peak sound level of piano tones from the two lowest partials as measured in the spectrogram and compared a digital piano, a Disklavier MX100A upright piano with the Bösendorfer SE. Studies in music performance were performed at Ohio State University [Palmer and van de Sande, 1995, Palmer, 1996b,a], at the Musikhochschule Karlsruhe [e.g., Mazzola and Beran, 1998, Mazzola, 2002, p. 833], and on the grand piano located at the Bösendorfer company in Vienna [Goebl, 2001, Widmer, 2001, 2002b, 2003, Goebl and Bresin, 2003, Widmer, 2005].

Very recently (2006), the Bösendorfer company in Vienna has finished development of a new computer-controlled reproducing piano called "CEUS" that includes, among other features, sensors that register the continuous motion of each key. This data might be extremely valuable for studies regarding pianists' touch and tone control.

6.2.2 Measuring Audio By Hand

An alternative to measuring music expression during performance through sensors placed in or around the performer or the instrument, is to analyse the recorded sound of music performances. This has the essential advantage that any type of recording may serve as a basis for investigation, e.g., commercially available CDs, historic recordings, or recordings from ethnomusicological research. One could just simply to go into a record store and buy all the performances by the

great pianists of the past century.1

186

However, extracting precise performance information from audio is difficult and sometimes impossible. The straight-forward method is to inspect the waveform of the audio signal with computer software and mark manually with a cursor the onset times of selected musical events. Though this method is time consuming, it delivers timing information with a reasonable precision. Dynamics is a more difficult issue. Overall dynamics (loudness) can be measured (e.g., by reading peak energy values from the root-mean-square of the signal averaged over a certain time window), but we are not aware of a successful procedure to extract individual dynamics of simultaneous tones [for an attempt, see Repp, 1993]. Many other signal processing problems have not been solved either (e.g., extracting pedal information, tone length and articulation, etc., see also McAdams et al., 2004).

First studies that extracted timing information directly from sound used oscillogram filming (e.g., Bengtsson and Gabrielsson, 1977; for more references see Gabrielsson, 1999, p. 533). Povel [1977] analysed gramophone records of three performances of Johann Sebastian Bach's first prelude of Well-tempered Clavier, Vol. I. He determined the note onsets "by eye" from two differently obtained oscillograms of the recordings (which were transferred onto analog tape). He reported a temporal precision of 1–2 ms (!). Recordings of the same piece were investigated by Cook [1987], who obtained timing (and intensity) data with a computational method. Onset detection was automated by a threshold procedure applied to the digitised sound signal (8 bit, 4 kHz) and post corrected by hand. He reported a timing resolution of 10 ms. He also stored intensity values, but did not specify in more detail what exactly was measured there.

Gabrielsson et al. [1983] analysed timing patterns of performances from 28 different monophonic melodies played by 5 performers. The timing data were measured from the audio recordings with a precision of ± 5 ms (p. 196). In a later study, Gabrielsson [1987] extracted both timing and (overall) intensity data from the theme of Mozart's sonata K.331. In this study, a digital sampling system was used that allowed a temporal precision of 1–10 ms (p. 87). The dynamics was estimated by reading peak amplitudes of each score event (in voltages). Nakamura [1987] used a Brüel & Kjær level recorder to register dynamics of solo performances played on a violin, oboe, and recorder. He analysed the produced dynamics in relation to the perceived intensity of the music.

¹In analysing recordings the researcher has to be aware that almost all records are glued together from several takes so the analysed performance might never have taken place in this particular rendition [see also Clarke, 2004, p. 88].

The first larger corpus of recordings was measured by Repp [1990] who fed 19 recordings of the third movement of Beethoven's piano sonata Op. 31 No. 3 into a VAX 11/780 computer and read off the note onsets from waveform displays. In cases of doubt, he played the sound up to the onset and moved the cursor stepwise back in time, until the following note was no longer audible [Repp, 1990, p. 625]. He measured the performances at the quarter-note level² and reported an absolute mean error of 6.5 ms for repeated measurements (equivalent to 1% of the inter-onset intervals, p. 626). In a further study, Repp [1992] collected 28 recordings of Schumann's "Träumerei" by 24 renowned pianists. He used a standard waveform editing program to handmeasure the 10-kHz sampled audio files. The rest of the procedure was identical (aural control of ambiguous onsets). He reported an average absolute measurement error of 4.3 ms (or less than 1%). In his later troika on the "microcosm of musical expression" [Repp, 1998, 1999a,b], he applied the same measurement procedure on 115 performances of the first five bars of Chopin's Etude Op. 10 No. 3 collected from libraries and record stores. He also extracted overall intensity information [Repp, 1999a] by taking the peak sound levels (pSPL in dB) extracted from the root-mean-square (RMS) integrated sound signal (over a rectangular window of 30 ms).

Nettheim [2001] measured parts of recordings of four historical performances of Chopin's e-minor Nocturne Op. 72 No. 1 (Pachmann, Godowsky, Rubinstein, Horowitz). He used a timestretching software to reduce the playback speed by a factor of 7 (without changing the pitch of the music). He then simply took the onset times from a time display during playback. Tone onsets of all individual tones were measured with this method.³ In repeated measurements, he reported an accuracy of around 14 ms. In addition to note onset timing, he assigned arbitrary intensity values to each tone ranging from 1 to 100 by ear.

In recent contributions on timing and synchronisation in Jazz performances, the timing of the various instruments of Jazz ensembles was investigated. Friberg and Sundström [2002] measured cymbal onsets from spectrogram displays with a reported precision of ±3 ms. Ashley [2002] studied the synchronisation of the melody instruments with the double bass line. He repeatedly measured onsets of both lines from waveform plots of the digitised signal with usual differences between the measurements of 3-5 ms. About the same level of consistency (typically 2 ms) was achieved by Collier and Collier [2002] through a similar measurement procedure (manual annotation of physical onsets in trumpet solos). Lisboa et al. [2005] used a wave editor to extract onset timing in Cello solo performances; Moelants [2004] made use of a speech

transcription software ("Praat") to assess trill and ornament timing in solo string performances.

In a recent commercial enterprise, John Q. Walker and colleagues have been trying to extract the complete performance information out of historical (audio) recordings in order to play them back on a modern Disklavier.⁴ Their commercial aim is to re-sell old recordings with modern sound quality or live performance feel. They computationally extract as much performance information as possible and add the missing information (e.g., tone length, pedalling) to an artificially created MIDI file. They use it to control a modern Disklayier grand piano and compare this performance to the original recording. Then they modify the added information in the MIDI files and play it back again and repeat this process iteratively until the Disklavier's reproduction sounds 'identical' to the original recording [see also Midgette, 2005].

Another way of assessing temporal content of recordings is by tapping along with the music recording e.g., on a MIDI drum pad or a keyboard, and recording this information [Cook, 1995, Bowen, 1996, Bachmann, 1999]. This is a comparably fast method to gain rough timing data at a tappable beat level. However, perceptual studies on tapping along with expressive music showed that tappers — even after repeatedly tapping along with the same short piece of music — still underestimate abrupt tempo changes or systematic variations [Dixon et al., 2005].

Computational Extraction of Expression from Audio

The most general approach to extracting performance-related data directly from audio recordings would be fully automatic transcription, but such systems are currently not robust enough to provide the level of precision required for analysis of expression [Klapuri, 2004]. However, more specialised systems were developed with the specific goal of expression extraction, in an attempt to support the painstaking effort of manual annotation [e.g., Dixon, 2000]. Since the score is often available for the performances being analysed, Scheirer [1997] recognised that much better performance could be obtained by incorporating score information into the audio analysis algorithms, but his system was never developed to be sufficiently general or robust to be used in practice. One thing that was lacking from music analysis software was an interface for interactive editing of partially correct automatic annotations, without which the use of the software was not significantly more efficient than manual annotation.

The first system with such an interface was BeatRoot [Dixon, 2001a,b], an automatic beat

²In the second part of this paper, he measured and analysed eight-note and sixteenth-note values as well.

³Obviously, the chosen excerpts were slow pieces with a comparatively low note density.

⁴http://www.zenph.com

tracking system with a graphical user interface which visualised (and auralised) the audio and derived beat times, allowing the user to edit the output and retrack the audio data based on the corrections. BeatRoot produces a list of beat times, from which tempo curves and other representations can be computed. Although it has its drawbacks, this system has been used extensively in studies of musical expression [Goebl and Dixon, 2001, Dixon et al., 2002, Widmer, 2002a, Widmer et al., 2003, Goebl et al., 2004]. Recently, Gouyon et al. [2004] implemented a subset of BeatRoot as a plugin for the audio editor WaveSurfer [Sjölander and Beskow, 2000].

A similar methodology was applied in the development of JTranscriber [Dixon, 2004], which was written as a front end for an existing transcription system [Dixon, 2000]. The graphical interface shows a spectrogram scaled to a semitone frequency scale, with the transcribed notes superimposed over the spectrogram in piano roll notation. The automatically generated output can be edited with simple mouse-based operations, with audio playback of the original and the transcription, together or separately.

These tools provide a better approach than manual annotation, but since they have no access to score information, they still require a significant amount of interactive correction, so that they are not suitable for very large scale studies. An alternative approach is to use existing knowledge, such as from previous annotations of other performances of the same piece of music, and to transfer the metadata after aligning the audio files. The audio alignment system MATCH [Dixon and Widmer, 2005] finds optimal alignments between pairs of recordings, and is then able to transfer annotations from one recording to the corresponding time points in the second. This proves to be a much more efficient method of annotating multiple performances of the same piece, since manual annotation needs to be performed only once. Further, audio alignment algorithms are generally much more accurate than techniques for direct extraction of expressive information from audio data, so the amount of subsequent correction for each matched file is much less.

Taking this idea one step further, the initial annotation phase can be avoided entirely if the musical score is available in a symbolic format, by synthesising a mechanical performance from the score and matching the audio recordings to the synthetic performance. For analysis of expression in audio, e.g. tempo measurements, the performance data must be matched to the score, so that the relationship between actual and nominal durations can be computed. Several score-performance alignment systems have been developed for various types of music [Cano et al., 1999, Soulez et al., 2003, Turetsky and Ellis, 2003, Shalev-Shwartz et al., 2004].

Other relevant work is the on-line version of the MATCH algorithm, which can be used for

tracking live performances with high accuracy [Dixon, 2005a,b]. This system is being developed for real time visualisation of performance expression. The technical issues are similar to those faced by score following systems, such as those used for automatic accompaniment [Dannenberg, 1984, Orio and Déchelle, 2001, Raphael, 2004], although the goals are somewhat different. Matching involving purely symbolic data has also been explored. Cambouropoulos developed a system for extracting score files from expressive performances in MIDI format [Cambouropoulos, 2000]. After manual correction, the matched MIDI and score files were used in detailed studies of musical expression. Various other approaches to symbolic score-performance matching are reviewed by Heijink et al. [2000b,a].

6.2.4 Extracting Expression from Performers' Movements

While the previous sections dealt with the extraction of expression contained in music performances, this section is devoted to expression as represented in all kinds of movements that occur when performers interact with their instruments during performance [for an overview, see Davidson and Correia, 2002, Clarke, 2004]. Performers' movements are a powerful communication channel of expression to the audience, sometimes even overriding the acoustic information [Behne, 1990, Davidson, 1994].

There are several ways to monitor performers' movements. One possibility is to connect mechanical devices to the playing apparatus of the performer [e.g., Ortmann, 1929], but that has the disadvantage of inhibiting the free execution of the movements. More common are optical tracking systems that either simply video-tape a performer's movements or record special passive or active markers placed on particular joints of the performer's body. We already mentioned an early study by Berstein and Poppova (1930), who introduced an active photographical tracking system [Kay et al., 2003]. Such systems use light-emitting markers placed on the various limbs and body parts of the performer. They are recorded by video cameras and tracked by software that extracts the position of the markers [e.g., the Selspot System, as used by Dahl, 2004, 2005]. The disadvantage of these systems is that the participants need to be cabled, which is a time-consuming process. Also, the cables might inhibit the participants to move as they would normally move. Passive systems use reflective markers that are illuminated by external lamps. In order to create a three-dimensional picture of movement, the data from several cameras are coupled by software [e.g., Palmer and Dalla Bella, 2004].

Even less intrusive are video systems that simply record performance movements without

any particular marking of the performer's limbs. Elaborated software systems are able to track defined body joints directly from the plain video signal (e.g., EyesWeb⁵, see Camurri et al., 2004, 2005 or Camurri and Volpe, 2004 for an overview on gesture-related research). Perception studies on communication of expression through performers' gestures use simpler point-light video recordings (reflective markers on body joints recorded in a darkened room) to present them to participants for ratings [Davidson, 1993].

6.2.5 Extraction of Emotional Content from MIDI and Audio

For listeners and musicians, an important aspect of music is its ability to express emotions [Juslin and Laukka, 2004]. An important research question has been to investigate the coupling between emotional expression and the underlying musical parameters. Two important distinctions have to be made. The first distinction is between perceived emotional expression ("what is communicated") and induced emotion ("what you feel"). Here, we will concentrate on the perceived emotion which has been the focus of most of the research in the past. The second distinction is between compositional parameters (pitch, melody, harmony, rhythm) and performance parameters (tempo, phrasing, articulation, accents). The influence of compositional parameters has been investigated for a long time starting with the important work of Hevner [1937]. A comprehensive summary is given in Gabrielsson and Lindström [2001]. The influence of performance parameters has recently been investigated in a number of studies [for overviews see Juslin and Sloboda, 2001, ?]. These studies indicate that for basic emotions such as happy, sad or angry, there is a simple and consistent relationship between the emotional description and the parameter values. For example, a sad expression is generally characterised by slow tempo, low sound level, legato articulation, and a happy expression is often characterised by fast tempo, moderate sound level and staccato articulation.

Predicting the emotional expression is usually done in a two-step process [see also Lindström et al., 2005]. The first step extracts the basic parameters from the incoming signal. The selection of parameters is a trade-off between what is needed in terms of emotion mapping and what is possible. MIDI performances are the simplest case in which the basic information in terms of notes, dynamics and articulation is already available. From this data it is possible to deduce for example the tempo using beat-tracking methods as described above. Audio from monophonic music performances can also be analyzed at the note level, which gives similar parameters as in

the MIDI case (with some errors). In addition, using audio a few extra parameters are available such as the spectral content and the attack velocity. The CUEX algorithm by Friberg et al. [2005] was specifically designed for prediction of emotional expression; it determines eight different parameters for each recognised note. Polyphonic audio is the most difficult case which has only recently been considered. One possibility is to first perform note extraction using polyphonic transcription [e.g., Klapuri, 2004] and then extract the parameters. Due to the lack of precision of polyphonic transcription there will be many errors. However, this may not be too problematic for the prediction of the emotion if the mapping is redundant and insensitive to small errors in the parameters. A more straightforward approach is to extract overall parameters directly from audio, such as using auditory-based measures for pitch, rhythm and timbre [Leman et al., 2004, Liu et al., 2003].

The second step is the mapping from the extracted parameters to the emotion character. A typical data-driven method is to use listener ratings (the "right" answer) for a set of performances to train a model. Common statistical/mathematical models are used such as regression [Leman et al., 2004, Juslin, 2000], Bayesian networks [Canazza et al., 2003], or Hidden Markov Models [Dillon, 2003].

Computational Models of Music Performance

As the preceding sections have demonstrated, a large amount of empirical data about expressive performance has been gathered and analysed (mostly using statistical methods). The ultimate goal of this research is to arrive at an understanding of the relationships between the various factors involved in performance that can be formulated in a general model. Models describe relations among different kinds of observable (and often measurable) information about a phenomenon, discarding details that are felt to be irrelevant. They serve to generalise empirical findings and have both a descriptive and predictive value. Often the information is quantitative and we can distinguish input data, supposedly known, and output data, which are inferred by the model. In this case, inputs can be considered as the causes, and outputs the effects of the phenomenon. Computational models – models that are implemented on a computer – can compute the values of output data corresponding to the provided values of inputs. This process is called simulation and is widely used to predict the behaviour of the phenomenon in different circumstances. This can be used to validate the model, by comparing the predicted results with actual observations.

⁵http://www.megaproject.org

6.3.1 Modeling Strategies

We can distinguish several strategies for developing the structure of the model and finding its parameters. The most prevalent ones are analysis-by-measurement and analysis-by-synthesis. Recently also methods from artificial intelligence have been employed: machine learning and case based reasoning. One can distinguish local models, which operate at the note level and try to explain the observed facts in a local context, and global models that take into account the higher level of the musical structure or more abstract expression patterns. The two approaches often require different modelling strategies and structures. In certain cases, it is possible to devise a combination of both approaches. The composed models are built by several components, each one aiming to explain different sources of expression. However, a good combination of the different parts is still quite a challenging research problem.

Analysis By Measurement

The first strategy, analysis-by-measurement, is based on the analysis of deviations from the musical notation measured in recorded human performances. The goal is to recognise regularities in the deviation patterns and to describe them by means of a mathematical model, relating score to expressive values (see Gabrielsson 1999 and Gabrielsson 2003 for an overview of the main results). The method starts by selecting the performances to be analyzed. Often rather small sets of carefully selected performances are used. The physical properties of every note are measured using the methods seen in section 6.2 and the data so obtained are checked for reliability and consistency. The most relevant variables are selected and analysed. The analysis assumes an interpretation model that can be confirmed or modified by the results of the measurements. Often the the assumption is made that patterns deriving from different sources or hierarchical levels can be separated and then added. This assumption helps the modelling phase, but may be be overly simplistic. The whole repertoire of statistical data analysis techniques is then available to fit descriptive or predictive models onto the empirical data – from regression analysis to linear vector space theory to neural networks or fuzzy logic.

Many models address very specific aspects of expressive performance, for example, the final ritard and its relation to human motion [Kronman and Sundberg, 1987, Todd, 1995, Friberg and Sundberg, 1999, Sundberg, 2000, Friberg et al., 2000b]; the timing of grace notes [Timmers et al., 2002]; vibrato [Desain and Honing, 1996, Schoonderwaldt and Friberg, 2001]; melody lead [Goebl, 2001, 2003]; legato [Bresin and Battel, 2000]; or staccato and its relation to local musical

context [Bresin and Widmer, 2000, Bresin, 2001].

A global approach was pursued by Todd in his phrasing model [Todd, 1992, 1995]. This model assumes that the structure of a musical piece can be decomposed into a hierarchy of meaningful segments (phrases), where each phase is in turn composed of a sequence of subphrases. The fundamental assumption of the model is that performers emphasise the hierarchical structure by an accelerando-ritardando pattern and a crescendo-decrescendo pattern for each phrase, and that these patterns are superimposed (summed) onto each other to give the actually observed complex performance. It has recently been shown empirically on a substantial corpus of Mozart performances [Tobudic and Widmer, 2006] that this model may be appropriate to explain (in part, at least) the shaping of dynamics by a performer, but less so as a model of expressive timing and tempo.

Analysis By Synthesis

While analysis by measurement develops models that best fit quantitative data, the analysis-by-synthesis paradigm takes into account the human perception and subjective factors. First, the analysis of real performances and the intuition of expert musicians suggest hypotheses that are formalised as rules. The rules are tested by producing synthetic performances of many pieces and then evaluated by listeners. As a result the hypotheses are refined, accepted or rejected. This method avoids the difficult problem of objective comparison of performances, including subjective and perceptual elements in the development loop. On the other hand, it depends very much on the personal competences and taste of a few experts.

The most important model developed in this way is the KTH rule system [Friberg, 1991, 1995, Friberg et al., 1998, 2000a, Sundberg et al., 1983, 1989, 1991]. In the KTH system, a set of rules describe quantitatively the deviations to be applied to a musical score, in order to produce a more attractive and human-like performance than the mechanical one that results from a literal playing of the score. Every rule tries to predict (and to explain with musical or psychoacoustic principles) some deviations that a human performer is likely to apply. Many rules are based on a low-level structural analysis of the musical score. The KTH rules can be grouped according to the purposes that they apparently have in music communication. For instance, differentiation rules appear to facilitate categorization of pitch and duration, whereas grouping rules appear to facilitate grouping of notes, both at micro and macro levels.

Machine Learning

In the 'traditional' way of developing models, the researcher normally makes some hypothesis on the performance aspects s/he wishes to model and then tries to establish the empirical validity of the model by testing it on real data or on synthetic performances. An alternative approach, pursued by Widmer and coworkers [Widmer, 1995a,b, 1996, 2000, 2002b, Widmer and Tobudic, 2003, Widmer, 2003, Widmer et al., 2003, Widmer, 2005, Tobudic and Widmer, 2006], tries to extract new and potentially interesting regularities and performance principles from many performance examples, by using machine learning and data mining algorithms. The aim of these methods is to search for and discover complex dependencies on very large data sets, without a specific preliminary hypothesis. A possible advantage is that machine learning algorithms may discover new (and possibly interesting) knowledge, avoiding any musical expectation or assumption. Moreover, some algorithms induce models in the form of rules that are directly intelligible and can be analysed and discussed with musicologists. This was demonstrated in a largescale experiment [Widmer, 2002b], where a machine learning system analysed a large corpus of performance data (recordings of 13 complete Mozart piano sonatas by a concert pianist), and autonomously discovered a concise set of predictive rules for note-level timing, dynamics, and articulation. Some of these rules turned out to describe regularities similar to those incorporated in the KTH performance rule set (see above), but a few discovered rules actually contradicted some common hypotheses and thus pointed to potential shortcomings of existing theories.

The note-level model represented by these learned rules was later combined with a machine learning system that learned to expressively shape timing and dynamics at various higher levels of the phrase hierarchy (in a similar way as described in Todd's 1989, 1992 structure-level models), to yield a multi-level model of expressive phrasing and articulation [Widmer and Tobudic, 2003]. A computer performance of a (part of a) Mozart piano sonata generated by this model was submitted to the International Performance Rendering Contest (RENCON) in Tokyo, 2002, where it won Second Prize behind a rule-based rendering system that had been carefully tuned by hand. The rating was done by a jury of human listeners. This can be taken as a piece of evidence of the musical adequacy of the model. However, as an explanatory model, this system has a serious shortcoming: in contrast to the note-level rules, the phrase-level performance model is not interpretable, as it is based on a kind of case-based learning (see also below). More research into learning structured, interpretable models from empirical data will be required.

Case-Based Reasoning

An alternative approach, closer to the observation-imitation-experimentation process observed in humans, is that of directly using the knowledge implicit in human performances. Case-based reasoning (CBR) is based on the idea of solving new problems by using (often with some kind of adaptation) similar previously solved problems. An example in this direction is the SaxEx system for expressive performance of Jazz ballads [Arcos et al., 1998, López de Mántaras and Arcos, 2002], which predicts expressive transformations to recordings of saxophone phrases by looking at how other, similar phrases were played by a human musician. The success of this approach greatly depends on the availability of a large amount of well-distributed previously solved problems, which are not easy to collect.

Mathematical Theory Approach

A rather different model, based mainly on mathematical considerations, is the Mazzola model [Mazzola, 1990, Mazzola and Zahorka, 1994, Mazzola et al., 1995, Mazzola, 2002, Mazzola and Göller, 2002]. This model basically consists of a musical structure analysis part and a performance part. The analysis part involves computer-aided analysis tools, for various aspects of the music structure, that assign particular weights to each note in a symbolic score. The performance part, that transforms structural features into an artificial performance, is theoretically anchored in the so-called Stemma Theory and Operator Theory (a sort of additive rule-based structure-to-performance mapping). It iteratively modifies the performance vector fields, each of which controls a single expressive parameter of a synthesised performance.

The Mazzola model has found a number of followers who studied and used the model to generate artificial performances of various pieces. Unfortunately, there has been little interaction or critical exchange between this "school" and other parts of the performance research community, so that the relation between this model and other performance theories, and also the empirical validity of the model, are still rather unclear.

6.3.2 Perspectives

Computer-based modelling of expressive performance has shown its promise over the past years and has established itself as an accepted methodology. However, there are still numerous open

6.4. Conclusions

198

questions related both to the technology, and to the questions that could be studied with it. Two prototypical ones are briefly discussed here.

Comparing Performances and Models

A problem that naturally arises in quantitative performance research is how performances can be compared. In subjective comparison often a supposed 'ideal' performance is used as a reference by the evaluator. In other cases, an actual reference performance can be assumed. Of course subjects with different background may have dissimilar preferences that are not easily made explicit.

When we consider computational models, objective numerical comparisons would be desirable. In this case, performances are represented by sets of values. Various similarity or distance measures (e.g., absolute difference, Euclidean distance, etc.) can be defined over these, and it is not at all clear which of these is most appropriate musically. Likewise, it is not clear how to weight individual components or aspects (e.g., timing vs. dynamics), or how these 'objective' differences relate to subjectively perceived differences. Agreed-upon methods for performance comparison would be highly important for further fruitful research in this field.

Common Principles vs. Differences

The models discussed in the previous sections aim at explaining and simulating general principles that seem to govern expressive performance, that is, those aspects of the relation between score and performance that seem predictable and more or less common to different performances and artists. Recently research has also started to pay attention to aspects that differentiate performances and performers' styles [Repp, 1992, Widmer, 2003]. The same piece of music can be performed trying to convey different expressive intentions [Gabrielsson and Lindström, 2001], sometimes changing the character of the performance drastically. The CARO model [Canazza et al., 2004] is able to modify a neutral performance (i.e. played without any specific expressive intention) in order to convey different expressive intentions. Bresin and Friberg [2000] developed some macro rules for selecting appropriate values for the parameters of the KTH rule system in order to convey different emotions. The question of the boundary between predictability and individuality in performance remains a challenging one.

Quantitative research on expressive human performance has been developing quickly during the past decade, and our knowledge of this complex phenomenon has improved considerably. There is ample room for further investigations, and the field of computational performance research continues to be active. As the present survey shows, the computer has become a central player in this kind of research, both in the context of measuring and extracting expression-related information from performances, and in analysing and modelling the empirical data so obtained. Intelligent computational methods are thus helping us advance our understanding of a complex and deeply human ability and phenomenon. In addition, operational computer models of music performance will also find many applications in music education and entertainment – think, for instance, of expressive music generation or interactive expressive music control in multimedia applications or games, of quasi-autonomous systems for interactive music performance, of new types of musical instruments or interfaces that provide novel means of conveying expressive intentions or emotions, or of intelligent tutoring or teaching support systems in music education.

199

Still, there are fundamental limits that will probably be very hard to overcome for music performance research, whether computer-based or not. The very idea of a creative activity being predictable and, more specifically, the notion of a direct 'quasi-causal' relation between the content of the music and the performance has obvious limitations. The person and personality of the artist as a mediator between music and listener is totally neglected in basically all models discussed above. There are some severe general limits to what any predictive model can describe. For instance, very often performers intentionally play the repetition of the same phrase or section totally differently the second time around. Being able to model and predict this would presuppose models of aspects that are outside the music itself, such as performance context, artistic intentions, personal experiences, listeners' expectations, etc.

Although it may sound quaint, there are concrete attempts at elaborating computational models of expressive performance to a level of complexity where they are able to compete with human performers. Since 2002, a scientific initiative brings together scientists from all over the world for a competition of artificially created performances (RENCON, contest for performance rendering systems⁶). Their aim is to construct computational systems that are able to pass a a kind of expressive performance Turing Test [that is, an artificial performance sounds indistinguishable from a human performance, Hiraga et al., 2004]. The very ambitious goal proclaimed by the

⁶http://shouchan.ei.tuat.ac.jp/~rencon/

6.4. Conclusions 200

RENCON initiative is for a computer to win the Chopin competition by 2050 [Hiraga et al., 2004]. It is hard to imagine that this will ever be possible, not only because the organisers of such a competition will probably not permit a computer to participate, but also because a computational model would have to take into account the complex social and cognitive contexts in which, like any human intellectual and artistic activity, a music performance is situated. But even if complete predictive models of such phenomena are strictly impossible, they advance our understanding and appreciation of the complexity of artistic behaviour, and it remains an intellectual and scientific challenge to probe the limits of formal modelling and rational characterisation.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the European Union (project FP6 IST-2004-03773 S2S2 "Sound to Sense, Sense to Sound"); the Austrian Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung (FWF; START project Y99-INF "Computer-Based Music Research: Artificial Intelligence Models of Musical Expression"); and the Viennese Science and Technology Fund (WWTF; project CI010 "Interfaces to Music"). The Austrian Research Institute for Artificial Intelligence (OFAI) acknowledges basic financial support by the Austrian Federal Ministries for Education, Science, and Culture, and for Transport, Innovation and Technology.

Bibliography

Josep Lluís Arcos, Ramon López de Mántaras, and Xavier Serra. SaxEx: A case-based reasoning system for generating expressive performances. *Journal of New Music Research*, 27(3):194–210, 1998.

Richard Ashley. Do[n't] change a hair for me: The art of Jazz Rubato. *Music Perception*, 19(3): 311–332, 2002.

Kai Bachmann. Das Verhältnis der Tempi in mehrsätzigen Musikwerken: ein Beitrag zur musikalischen Aufführungsanalyse am Beispiel der Symphonien Ludwig van Beethovens. Unpublished doctoral thesis, Institut für Musikwissenschaft, Universität Salzburg, Salzburg, 1999.

Klaus-Ernst Behne. "Blicken Sie auf die Pianisten?!" Zur bildbeeinflußten Beurteilung von Klaviermusik im Fernsehen. Medienpsychologie, 2(2):115–131, 1990.

Klaus-Ernst Behne and Burkhard Wetekam. Musikpsychologische Interpretationsforschung: Individualität und Intention. In Klaus-Ernst Behne, Günter Kleinen, and Helga de la Motte-Haber, editors, *Musikpsychologie. Empirische Forschungen, ästhetische Experimente*, volume 10, pages 24–32. Noetzel, Wilhelmshaven, 1994.

Ingmar Bengtsson and Alf Gabrielsson. Rhythm research in Uppsala. In *Music, Room, Acoustics*, volume 17, pages 19–56. Publications issued by the Royal Swedish Academy of Music, Stockholm, 1977.

Alfred Binet and Jules Courtier. Recherches graphiques sur la musique. L'Année Psychologique, 2: 201–222, 1895. URL http://www.musica-mechana.de. Available also in a German translation by Schmitz, H.-W. (1994), Das Mechanische Musikinstrument 61, 16–24.

201

José A. Bowen. Tempo, duration, and flexibility: Techniques in the analysis of performance. *Journal of Musicological Research*, 16(2):111–156, 1996.

- Q. David Bowers. Encyclopedia of Automatic Musical Instruments. Vestal Press Ltd., New York, 13th edition, 1972.
- Roberto Bresin. Articulation rules for automatic music performance. In Andrew Schloss and Roger Dannenberg, editors, *Proceedings of the 2001 International Computer Music Conference, Havana, Cuba,* pages 294–297. International Computer Music Association, San Francisco, 2001.
- Roberto Bresin and Giovanni Umberto Battel. Articulation strategies in expressive piano performance. *Journal of New Music Research*, 29(3):211–224, 2000.
- Roberto Bresin and Anders Friberg. Emotional coloring of computer-controlled music performances. *Computer Music Journal*, 24(4):44–63, 2000.
- Roberto Bresin and Gerhard Widmer. Production of staccato articulation in Mozart sonatas played on a grand piano. Preliminary results. *Speech, Music, and Hearing. Quarterly Progress and Status Report*, 2000(4):1–6, 2000.
- Emilios Cambouropoulos. Score Extraction from MIDI Files. In *In Proceedings of the 13th Colloquium on Musical Informatics (CIM'2000)*. L'Aquila, Italy, 2000.
- Antonio Camurri and Gualterio Volpe, editors. *Gesture-Based Communication in Human-Computer Interaction*. Springer, Berlin, 2004. LNAI 2915.
- Antonio Camurri, Carol L. Krumhansl, Barbara Mazzarino, and Gualterio Volpe. An exploratory study of anticipation human movement in dance. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Symposium on Measurement, Analysis, and Modeling of Human Functions*. Genova, Italy, 2004.
- Antonio Camurri, Gualterio Volpe, Giovanni De Poli, and Marc Leman. Communicating expressiveness and affect in multimodal interactive systems. *IEEE Multimedia*, 12(1):43–53, 2005.
- Sergio Canazza, Giovanni De Poli, G. Mion, Antonio Rodà, Alvise Vidolin, and Patrick Zanon. Expressive classifiers at CSC: An overview of the main research streams. In *Proceedings of the XIV Colloquium on Musical Informatics (XIV CIM 2003) May 8–10.* Firenze, 2003.
- Sergio Canazza, Giovanni De Poli, Carlo Drioli, Antonio Rodà, and Alvise Vidolin. Modeling and control of expressiveness in music performance. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 92(4):686–701, 2004.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 203

P. Cano, A. Loscos, and J. Bonada. Score-performance matching using HMMs. In *Proceedings of the International Computer Music Conference*, pages 441–444. International Computer Music Association, 1999.

- Eric F. Clarke. Empirical methods in the study of performance. In Eric F. Clarke and Nicholas Cook, editors, Empirical Musicology. Aims, Methods, and Prospects, pages 77–102. University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- Eric F. Clarke and W. Luke Windsor. Real and simulated expression: A listening study. Music Perception, 17(3):277–313, 2000.
- Alcedo Coenen and Sabine Schäfer. Computer-controlled player pianos. *Computer Music Journal*, 16(4):104–111, 1992.
- Geoffrey L. Collier and James Lincoln Collier. A study of timing in two Louis Armstrong solos. *Music Perception*, 19(3):463–483, 2002.
- Nicholas Cook. Computational and comparative Musicology. In Eric F. Clarke and Nicholas Cook, editors, Empirical Musicology. Aims, Methods, and Prospects, pages 103–126. University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- Nicholas Cook. Structure and performance timing in Bach's C major prelude (WTC I): An empirical study. *Music Analysis*, 6(3):100–114, 1987.
- Nicholas Cook. The conductor and the theorist: Furtwängler, Schenker and the first movement of Beethoven's Ninth Symphony. In John Rink, editor, *The Practice of Performance*, pages 105–125. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995.
- Sophia Dahl. Playing the accent comparing striking velocity and timing in an ostinato rhythm performed by four drummers. *Acta Acustica*, 90(4):762–776, 2004.
- Sophia Dahl. Movements and analysis of drumming. In Eckart Altenmüller, J. Kesselring, and M. Wiesendanger, editors, *Music, Motor Control and the Brain*, page in press. University Press, Oxford, 2005.
- R.B. Dannenberg. An on-line algorithm for real-time accompaniment. In Proceedings of the International Computer Music Conference, pages 193–198, 1984.

Jane W. Davidson. Visual perception of performance manner in the movements of solo musicians. Psychology of Music, 21(2):103–113, 1993.

- Jane W. Davidson. What type of information is conveyed in the body movements of solo musician performers? *Journal of Human Movement Studies*, 26(6):279–301, 1994.
- Jane W. Davidson and Jorge Salgado Correia. Body movement. In Richard Parncutt and Gary McPherson, editors, The Science and Psychology of Music Performance. Creating Strategies for Teaching and Learning, pages 237–250. University Press, Oxford, 2002.
- Giovanni De Poli. Methodologies for expressiveness modelling of and for music performance. *Journal of New Music Research*, 33(3):189–202, 2004.
- Peter Desain and Henkjan Honing. Does expressive timing in music performance scale proportionally with tempo? *Psychological Research*, 56:285–292, 1994.
- Peter Desain and Henkjan Honing. Modeling continuous aspects of music performance: Vibrato and Portamento. In Bruce Pennycook and Eugenia Costa-Giomi, editors, *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Music Perception and Cognition (ICMPC'96)*. Faculty of Music, McGill University, Montreal, Canada, 1996.
- Roberto Dillon. A statistical approach to expressive intention recognition in violin performances. In Roberto Bresin, editor, *Proceedings of the Stockholm Music Acoustics Conference (SMAC'03), August 6–9, 2003*, pages 529–532. Department of Speech, Music, and Hearing, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, 2003.
- S. Dixon. Extraction of musical performance parameters from audio data. In *Proceedings of the First IEEE Pacific-Rim Conference on Multimedia*, pages 42–45, Sydney, 2000. University of Sydney.
- S. Dixon. Analysis of musical content in digital audio. In J. DiMarco, editor, Computer Graphics and Multimedia: Applications, Problems, and Solutions, pages 214–235. Idea Group, Hershey PA, 2004.
- S. Dixon. An on-line time warping algorithm for tracking musical performances. In *Proceedings* of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2005a. to appear.
- S. Dixon. Live tracking of musical performances using on-line time warping. 2005b. Submitted.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 205

S. Dixon and G. Widmer. MATCH: A music alignment tool chest. In 6th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval, page Submitted, 2005.

- Simon Dixon. Automatic extraction of tempo and beat from expressive performances. *Journal of New Music Research*, 30(1):39–58, 2001a.
- Simon Dixon. Learning to detect onsets of acoustic piano tones. In Claudia Lomeli Buyoli and Ramon Loureiro, editors, *MOSART Workshop on current research directions in computer music*, *November 15–17*, 2001, pages 147–151. Audiovisual Institute, Pompeu Fabra University, Barcelona, Spain, 2001b.
- Simon Dixon, Werner Goebl, and Gerhard Widmer. The Performance Worm: Real time visualisation based on Langner's representation. In Mats Nordahl, editor, *Proceedings of the 2002 International Computer Music Conference, Göteborg, Sweden*, pages 361–364. International Computer Music Association, San Francisco, 2002.
- Simon Dixon, Werner Goebl, and Emilios Cambouropoulos. Smoothed tempo perception of expressively performed music. *Music Perception*, 23:in press, 2005.
- Kurt Ebhardt. Zwei Beiträge zur Psychologie des Rhythmus und des Tempo. Zeitschrift für Psychologie und Physiologie der Sinnesorgane, 18:99–154, 1898.
- Anders Friberg. Generative rules for music performance. *Computer Music Journal*, 15(2):56–71, 1991.
- Anders Friberg. A Quantitative Rule System for Musical Performance. Doctoral dissertation, Department of Speech, Music and Hearing, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, 1995.
- Anders Friberg and Johan Sundberg. Does music performance allude to locomotion? A model of final ritardandi derived from measurements of stopping runners. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 105(3):1469–1484, 1999.
- Anders Friberg and A. Sundström. Swing ratios and ensemble timing in jazz performance: Evidence for a common rhythmic pattern. *Music Perception*, 19(3):333–349, 2002.
- Anders Friberg, Roberto Bresin, Lars Frydén, and Johan Sundberg. Musical punctuation on the mircolevel: Automatic identification and performance of small melodic units. *Journal of New Music Research*, 27(3):271–292, 1998.

- Anders Friberg, Johan Sundberg, and Lars Frydén. Music from motion: Sound level envelopes of tones expressing human locomotion. *Journal of New Music Research*, 29(3):199–210, 2000b.
- Anders Friberg, Erwin Schoonderwaldt, and Patrik N. Juslin. CUEX: An algorithm for extracting expressive tone variables from audio recordings. *Acoustica united with Acta Acoustica*, in press, 2005.
- Alf Gabrielsson. Music performance research at the millenium. *Psychology of Music*, 31(3):221–272, 2003.
- Alf Gabrielsson. Once again: The Theme from Mozart's Piano Sonata in A Major (K.331). In Alf Gabrielsson, editor, *Action and Perception in Rhythm and Music*, volume 55, pages 81–103. Publications issued by the Royal Swedish Academy of Music, Stockholm, Sweden, 1987.
- Alf Gabrielsson. Music Performance. In Diana Deutsch, editor, *Psychology of Music*, pages 501–602. Academic Press, San Diego, 2nd edition, 1999.
- Alf Gabrielsson and Eric Lindström. The influence of musical structure on emotional expression. In Patrik N. Juslin and John A. Sloboda, editors, *Music and Emotion: Theory and Research*, pages 223–248. Oxford University Press, New York, 2001.
- Alf Gabrielsson, Ingmar Bengtsson, and Barbro Gabrielsson. Performance of musical rhythm in 3/4 and 6/8 meter. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 24:193–213, 1983.
- Werner Goebl. Melody lead in piano performance: Expressive device or artifact? *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 110(1):563–572, 2001.
- Werner Goebl. *The Role of Timing and Intensity in the Production and Perception of Melody in Expressive Piano Performance*. Doctoral thesis, Institut für Musikwissenschaft, Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz, Graz, Austria, 2003. available online at http://www.ofai.at/music.
- Werner Goebl and Roberto Bresin. Are computer-controlled pianos a reliable tool in music performance research? Recording and reproduction precision of a Yamaha Disklavier grand piano. In Claudia Lomeli Buyoli and Ramon Loureiro, editors, MOSART Workshop on Current Research Directions in Computer Music, November 15–17, 2001, pages 45–50. Audiovisual Institute, Pompeu Fabra University, Barcelona, Spain, 2001.

Werner Goebl and Roberto Bresin. Measurement and reproduction accuracy of computer-controlled grand pianos. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 114(4):2273–2283, 2003.

- Werner Goebl and Simon Dixon. Analyses of tempo classes in performances of Mozart piano sonatas. In Henna Lappalainen, editor, *Proceedings of the Seventh International Symposium on Systematic and Comparative Musicology, Third International Conference on Cognitive Musicology, August 16–19*, 2001, pages 65–76. University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland, 2001.
- Werner Goebl, Elias Pampalk, and Gerhard Widmer. Exploring expressive performance trajectories: Six famous pianists play six Chopin pieces. In Scott D. Lipscomp, Richard Ashley, Robert O. Gjerdingen, and Peter Webster, editors, *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Music Perception and Cognition, Evanston, IL*, 2004 (ICMPC8), pages 505–509. Causal Productions, Adelaide, Australia, 2004. CD-ROM.
- Hermann Gottschewski. Die Interpretation als Kunstwerk. Musikalische Zeitgestaltung und ihre Analyse am Beispiel von Welte-Mignon-Klavieraufnahmen aus dem Jahre 1905. Freiburger Beiträge zur Musikwissenschaft, Bd. 5. Laaber-Verlag, Laaber, 1996.
- F. Gouyon, N. Wack, and S. Dixon. An open source tool for semi-automatic rhythmic annotation. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Digital Audio Effects*, pages 193–196, 2004.
- Peter Hagmann. Das Welte-Mignon-Klavier, die Welte-Philharmonie-Orgel und die Anfänge der Reproduktion von Musik. Europäische Hochschulschriften: Reihe 35, Musikwissenschaft, Bd. 10. Peter Lang, Bern, Frankfurt am Main, New York, 1984. URL http://www.freidok.uni-freiburg.de/volltexte/608/. available at http://www.freidok.uni-freiburg.de/volltexte/608/.
- A. Hartmann. Untersuchungen über das metrische Verhalten in musikalischen Interpretationsvarianten. Archiv für die gesamte Psychologie, 84:103–192, 1932.
- Hank Heijink, Peter Desain, Henkjan Honing, and Luke Windsor. Make me a match: An evaluation of different approaches to score-performance matching. Computer Music Journal, 24 (1):43–56, 2000a.
- Hank Heijink, Luke Windsor, and Peter Desain. Data processing in music performance research: Using structural information to improve score-performance matching. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments and Computers*, 32(4):546–554, 2000b.

C. P. Heinlein. A discussion of the nature of pianoforte damper-pedalling together with an experimental study of some individual differences in pedal performance. *Journal of General Psychology*, 2:489–508, 1929a.

- C. P. Heinlein. The functional role of finger touch and damper-pedalling in the appreciation of pianoforte music. *Journal of General Psychology*, 2:462–469, 1929b.
- C. P. Heinlein. Pianoforte damper-pedalling under ten different experimental conditions. *Journal of General Psychology*, 3:511–528, 1930.
- M. T. Henderson. Rhythmic organization in artistic piano performance. In Carl Emil Seashore, editor, Objective Analysis of Musical Performance, volume IV of University of Iowa Studies in the Psychology of Music, pages 281–305. University Press, Iowa City, 1936.
- M. T. Henderson, J. Tiffin, and Carl Emil Seashore. The Iowa piano camera and its use. In Carl Emil Seashore, editor, *Objective Analysis of Musical Performance*, volume IV, pages 252–262. University Press, Iowa City, 1936.
- Kate Hevner. The affective value of pitch and tempo in music. *American Journal of Psychology*, 49: 621–630, 1937.
- Rumi Hiraga, Roberto Bresin, Keiji Hirata, and Haruhiro Katayose. Rencon 2004: Turing Test for musical expression. In *Proceedings of the 2004 Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression (NIME04)*, pages 120–123. Hamamatsu, Japan, 2004.
- David Miles Huber. The MIDI Manual. Butterworth-Heinemann, Boston, MA, 1999.
- Patrik N. Juslin. Cue utilization in communication of emotion in music performance: Relating performance to perception. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 26(1797–1813), 2000.
- Patrik N. Juslin and Petri Laukka. Expression, perception, and induction of musical emotions: A review and a questionnaire study of everyday listening. *Journal of New Music Research*, 33(3): 217–238, 2004.
- Patrik N. Juslin and Guy Madison. The role of timing patterns in recognition of emotional expression from musical performance. *Music Perception*, 17(2):197–221, 1999.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 209

Patrik N. Juslin and John A. Sloboda. *Music and Emotion: Theory and Research*. Oxford University Press, New York, 2001.

- Bruce A. Kay, Michael T. Turvey, and Onno G. Meijer. An early oscillator model: Studies on the biodynamics of the piano strike (Bernstein & Popova, 1930). *Motor Control*, 7(1):1–45, 2003.
- A. Klapuri. Automatic music transcription as we know it today. *Journal of New Music Research*, 33(3):269–282, 2004.
- U. Kronman and Johan Sundberg. Is the musical retard an allusion to physical motion? In Alf Gabrielsson, editor, *Action and Perception in Rhythm and Music*, volume 55, pages 57–68. Publications issued by the Royal Swedish Academy of Music, Stockholm, Sweden, 1987.
- Marc Leman, V. Vermeulen, De Voogdt L., J. Taelman, Dirk Moelants, and M. Lesaffre. Correlation of gestural musical audio cues and perceived expressive qualities. In Antonio Camurri and Gualterio Volpe, editors, *Gesture-based Communication in Human-Computer Interaction*, pages xx–yy. Springer, Berlin, 2004. LNAI 2915.
- Eric Lindström, Antonio Camurri, Anders Friberg, Gualterio Volpe, and Marie-Louise Rinman. Affect, attitude and evaluation of multi-sensory performances. *Journal of New Music Research*, in press, 2005.
- Tânia Lisboa, Aaron Williamon, Massimo Zicari, and Hubert Eiholzer. Mastery through imitation: A preliminary study. *Musicae Scientiae*, 9(1), 2005.
- Dan Liu, Lu Lie, and Hong-Jiang Zhang. Automatic mood detection from acoustic music data. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Music Information Retrieval*. 2003.
- Ramon López de Mántaras and Josep Lluís Arcos. AI and music: From composition to expressive performances. *AI Magazine*, 23(3):43–57, 2002.
- Guerino Mazzola, editor. *The Topos of Music Geometric Logic of Concepts, Theory, and Performance*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2002.
- Guerino Mazzola. Geometrie der Töne. Elemente der Mathematischen Musiktheorie. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1990.
- Guerino Mazzola and Jan Beran. Rational composition of performance. In Reinhard Kopiez and Wolfgang Auhagen, editors, *Controlling Creative Processes in Music*, volume 12 of *Schriften zur Musikpsychologie and Musikästhetik*, *Bd.* 12, pages 37–68. Lang, Frankfurt/M., 1998.

Guerino Mazzola and Stefan Göller. Performance and interpretation. *Journal of New Music Research*, 31(3):221–232, 2002.

- Guerino Mazzola and Oliver Zahorka. Tempo curves revisited: Hierarchies of performance fields. *Computer Music Journal*, 18(1):40–52, 1994.
- Guerino Mazzola, Oliver Zahorka, and Joachim Stange-Elbe. Analysis and performance of a dream. In Anders Friberg and Johan Sundberg, editors, *Proceedings of the KTH Symposion on Grammars for Music Performance*, pages 59–68. Department of Speech Communication and Music Acoustics, Stockholm, Sweden, 1995.
- Stephen McAdams, Philippe Depalle, and Eric F. Clarke. Analyzing musical sound. In Eric F. Clarke and Nicholas Cook, editors, *Empirical Musicology. Aims, Methods, and Prospects*, pages 157–196. University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- Anne Midgette. Play it again, Vladimir (via computer). The New York Times, June 5 2005.
- Dirk Moelants. Temporal aspects of instrumentalists' performance of tremolo, trills, and vibrato. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Musical Acoustics (ISMA'04)*, pages 281–284. The Acoustical Society of Japan, Nara, Japan, 2004.
- Robert A. Moog and Thomas L. Rhea. Evolution of the keyboard interface: The Bösendorfer 290 SE recording piano and the Moog multiply-touch-sensitive keyboards. *Computer Music Journal*, 14(2):52–60, 1990.
- George P. Moore. Piano trills. Music Perception, 9(3):351-359, 1992.
- T. Nakamura. The communication of dynamics between musicians and listeners through musical performance. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 41(6):525–533, 1987.
- Nigel Nettheim. A musical microscope applied to the piano playing of Vladimir de Pachmann, 2001. http://users.bigpond.net.au/nettheim/pachmic/microsc.htm.
- N. Orio and F. Déchelle. Score following using spectral analysis and hidden Markov models. In *Proceedings of the International Computer Music Conference*, pages 151–154, 2001.
- Otto Ortmann. *The Physical Basis of Piano Touch and Tone*. Kegan Paul, Trench, Trubner; J. Curwen; E. P. Dutton, London, New York, 1925.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 211

Otto Ortmann. *The Physiological Mechanics of Piano Technique*. Kegan Paul, Trench, Trubner, E. P. Dutton, London, New York, 1929. Paperback reprint: New York: E. P. Dutton 1962.

- Caroline Palmer. Mapping musical thought to musical performance. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 15(12):331–346, 1989.
- Caroline Palmer. The role of interpretive preferences in music performance. In Mari Riess Jones and Susan Holleran, editors, *Cognitive Bases of Musical Communication*, pages 249–262. American Psychological Association, Washington DC, 1992.
- Caroline Palmer. Anatomy of a performance: Sources of musical expression. *Music Perception*, 13(3):433–453, 1996a.
- Caroline Palmer. On the assignment of structure in music performance. *Music Perception*, 14(1): 23–56, 1996b.
- Caroline Palmer. Music performance. Annual Review of Psychology, 48:115–138, 1997.
- Caroline Palmer and Judith C. Brown. Investigations in the amplitude of sounded piano tones. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 90(1):60–66, 1991.
- Caroline Palmer and Simone Dalla Bella. Movement amplitude and tempo change in piano performance. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 115(5):2590, 2004.
- Caroline Palmer and Susan Holleran. Harmonic, melodic, and frequency height influences in the perception of multivoiced music. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 56(3):301–312, 1994.
- Caroline Palmer and Carla van de Sande. Units of knowledge in music performance. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 19(2):457–470, 1993.
- Caroline Palmer and Carla van de Sande. Range of planning in music performance. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 21(5):947–962, 1995.
- Richard Parncutt and Gary McPherson, editors. *The Science and Psychology of Music Performance.*Creating Strategies for Teaching and Learning. University Press, Oxford, New York, 2002.
- Dirk-Jan Povel. Temporal structure of performed music: Some preliminary observations. *Acta Psychologica*, 41(4):309–320, 1977.

- C. Raphael. A hybrid graphical model for aligning polyphonic audio with musical scores. In Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Musical Information Retrieval, pages 387–394, 2004.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Patterns of expressive timing in performances of a Beethoven minuet by nineteen famous pianists. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 88(2):622–641, 1990.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Diversity and commonality in music performance: An analysis of timing microstructure in Schumann's "Träumerei". *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 92(5): 2546–2568, 1992.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Some empirical observations on sound level properties of recorded piano tones. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 93(2):1136–1144, 1993.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. On determining the basic tempo of an expressive music performance. *Psychology of Music*, 22:157–167, 1994a.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Relational invariance of expressive microstructure across global tempo changes in music performance: an exploratory study. *Psychological Research*, 56(4):269–284, 1994b.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Detectability of duration and intensity increments in melody tones: a partial connection between music perception and performance. *Perception and Psychophysics*, 57(8):1217–1232, 1995a.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Expressive timing in Schumann's "Träumerei:" An analysis of performances by graduate student pianists. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 98(5):2413–2427, 1995b.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Acoustics, perception, and production of legato articulation on a digital piano. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 97(6):3862–3874, 1995c. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/htbin-post/Entrez/query?db=m{\&}form=6{\&}dopt=r{\&}uid=0007790662.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. The art of inaccuracy: Why pianists' errors are difficult to hear. *Music Perception*, 14(2):161–184, 1996a.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Pedal timing and tempo in expressive piano performance: A preliminary investigation. *Psychology of Music*, 24(2):199–221, 1996b.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 213

Bruno Heinrich Repp. Patterns of note onset asynchronies in expressive piano performance. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 100(6):3917–3932, 1996c.

- Bruno Heinrich Repp. The dynamics of expressive piano performance: Schumann's "Träumerei" revisited. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 100(1):641–650, 1996d. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/htbin-post/Entrez/query?db=m{\&}form=6{\&}dopt=r{\&}uid=0008675852.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. The Aesthetic Quality of a Quantitatively Average Music Performance: Two Preliminary Experiments. *Music Perception*, 14(4):419–444, 1997a.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. The effect of tempo on pedal timing in piano performance. *Psychological Research*, 60(3):164–172, 1997b. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/htbin-post/Entrez/query?db=m{\&}form=6{\&}dopt=r{\&}uid=0009342960.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Acoustics, perception, and production of legato articulation on a computer-controlled grand piano. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 102(3):1878–1890, 1997c.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. Expressive timing in a Debussy Prelude: A comparison of student and expert pianists. *Musicae Scientiae*, 1(2):257–268, 1997d.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. A microcosm of musical expression. I. Quantitative analysis of pianists' timing in the initial measures of Chopin's Etude in E major. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 104(2):1085–1100, 1998. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/htbin-post/Entrez/query?db=m{\&}form=6{\&}dopt=r{\&}uid=0009714927.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. A microcosm of musical expression: II. Quantitative analysis of pianists' dynamics in the initial measures of Chopin's Etude in E major. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 105(3):1972–1988, 1999a. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/htbin-post/Entrez/query?db=m{\&}form=6{\&}dopt=r{\&}uid=0010089616.
- Bruno Heinrich Repp. A microcosm of musical expression: III. Contributions of timing and dynamics to the aesthetic impression of pianists' performances of the initial measures of Chopin's Etude in E major. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 106(1):469–478, 1999b. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/htbin-post/Entrez/query?db=m{\&}form=6{\&}dopt=r{\&}uid=0010420637.
- Kathleen Riley-Butler. Teaching expressivity: An aural-visual feedback-replication model. In *ESCOM 10th Anniversary Conference on Musical Creativity, April 5–8, 2002*. Université de Liège, Liège, Belgium, 2002. CD-ROM.

John Rink, editor. Musical Performance. A Guide to Understanding. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002.

- John Rink, editor. The Practice of Performance: Studies in Musical Interpretation. University Press, Cambridge UK, 1995.
- John Rink. In respect of performance: The view from Musicology. *Psychology of Music*, 31(3): 303–323, 2003.
- Curtis Roads. Bösendorfer 290 SE computer-based piano. Computer Music Journal, 10(3):102–103, 1986.
- E.D. Scheirer. Using musical knowledge to extract expressive performance information from audio recordings. In H. Okuno and D. Rosenthal, editors, *Readings in Computational Auditory Scene Analysis*. Lawrence Erlbaum, 1997.
- Erwin Schoonderwaldt and Anders Friberg. Towards a rule-based model for violin vibrato. In Claudia Lomeli Buyoli and Ramon Loureiro, editors, MOSART Workshop on Current Research Directions in Computer Music, November 15–17, 2001, pages 61–64. Audiovisual Institute, Pompeu Fabra University, Barcelona, Spain, 2001.
- Charles H. Sears. A contribution to the psychology of rhythm. *American Journal of Psychology*, 13 (1):28–61, 1902.
- Carl Emil Seashore, editor. The Vibrato, volume I of University of Iowa Studies in the Psychology of Music. University Press, Iowa City, 1932.
- Carl Emil Seashore, editor. Psychology of the Vibrato in Voice and Instrument, volume III of University of Iowa Studies. Studies in the Psychology of Music Volume III. University Press, Iowa City, 1936a.
- Carl Emil Seashore, editor. *Objective Analysis of Musical Performance*, volume IV of *University of Iowa Studies in the Psychology of Music*. University Press, Iowa City, 1936b.
- L. Henry Shaffer. Analysing piano performance. In George E. Stelmach and Jean Requin, editors, *Tutorials in Motor Behavior*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- L. Henry Shaffer. Performances of Chopin, Bach and Bartòk: Studies in motor programming. Cognitive Psychology, 13(3):326–376, 1981.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 215

L. Henry Shaffer. Timing in solo and duet piano performances. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Experimental Psychology*, 36A(4):577–595, 1984.

- L. Henry Shaffer. How to interpret music. In Mari Riess Jones and Susan Holleran, editors, Cognitive Bases of Musical Communication, pages 263–278. American Psychological Association, Washington DC, 1992.
- L. Henry Shaffer and Neil P. McAngus Todd. The interpretative component in musical performance. In Alf Gabrielsson, editor, Action and Perception in Rhythm and Music, volume 55, pages 139–152. Publications issued by the Royal Swedish Academy of Music, Stockholm, Sweden, 1987.
- L. Henry Shaffer, Eric F. Clarke, and Neil P. McAngus Todd. Metre and Rhythm in Pianoplaying. Cognition, 20(1):61–77, 1985.
- S. Shalev-Shwartz, J. Keshet, and Y. Singer. Learning to align polyphonic music. In 5th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval, pages 381–386, 2004.
- K. Sjölander and J. Beskow. WaveSurfer an open source speech tool. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*, 2000.
- Laila Skinner and Carl Emil Seashore. A musical pattern score of the first movement of the Beethoven Sonata, Opus 27, No. 2. In Carl Emil Seashore, editor, *Objective Analysis of Musical Performance*, volume IV of *Studies in the Psychology of Music*, pages 263–279. University Press, Iowa City, 1936.
- F. Soulez, X. Rodet, and D. Schwarz. Improving polyphonic and poly-instrumental music to score alignment. In 4th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval, pages 143–148, 2003.
- Johan Sundberg. Four years of research on music and motion. *Journal of New Music Research*, 29 (3):183–185, 2000.
- Johan Sundberg, Anders Askenfelt, and Lars Frydén. Musical performance. A synthesis-by-rule approach. *Computer Music Journal*, 7:37–43, 1983.
- Johan Sundberg, Anders Friberg, and Lars Frydén. Rules for automated performance of ensemble music. Contemporary Music Review, 3:89–109, 1989.

Johan Sundberg, Anders Friberg, and Lars Frydén. Threshold and preference quantities of rules for music performance. *Music Perception*, 9(1):71–92, 1991.

- Renee Timmers. Freedom and Constraints in Timing and Ornamentation. Shaker Publishing, Maastricht. 2002.
- Renee Timmers, Richard Ashley, Peter Desain, Henkjan Honing, and Luke W. Windsor. Timing of ornaments in the theme of Beethoven's Paisello Variations: Empirical data and a model. *Music Perception*, 20(1):3–33, 2002.
- Asmir Tobudic and Gerhard Widmer. Relational IBL in classical music. *Machine Learning*, 64: 5–24, 2006.
- Neil P. McAngus Todd. A computational model of Rubato. Contemporary Music Review, 3:69–88, 1989.
- Neil P. McAngus Todd. The dynamics of dynamics: A model of musical expression. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 91(6):3540–3550, 1992.
- Neil P. McAngus Todd. The kinematics of musical expression. *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 97(3):1940–1949, 1995.
- R. Turetsky and D. Ellis. Ground-truth transcriptions of real music from force-aligned MIDI syntheses. In 4th International Conference on Music Information Retrieval, pages 135–141, 2003.
- Leroy Ninde Vernon. Synchronization of chords in artistic piano music. In Carl Emil Seashore, editor, *Objective Analysis of Musical Performance*, volume IV of *Studies in the Psychology of Music*, pages 306–345. University Press, Iowa City, 1936.
- Gerhard Widmer. Large-scale induction of expressive performance rules: first quantitative results. In Ioannis Zannos, editor, *Proceedings of the 2000 International Computer Music Conference, Berlin, Germany*, pages 344–347. International Computer Music Association, San Francisco, 2000.
- Gerhard Widmer. Using AI and machine learning to study expressive music performance: Project survey and first report. *AI Communications*, 14(3):149–162, 2001.
- Gerhard Widmer. In search of the Horowitz factor: Interim report on a musical discovery project. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Discovery Science (DS'02), Lübeck, Germany.* Springer, Berlin, 2002a.

BIBLIOGRAPHY 217

Gerhard Widmer. Machine discoveries: A few simple, robust local expression principles. *Journal of New Music Research*, 31(1):37–50, 2002b.

- Gerhard Widmer. Discovering simple rules in complex data: A meta-learning algorithm and some surprising musical discoveries. *Artificial Intelligence*, 146(2):129–148, 2003.
- Gerhard Widmer. Studying a creative act with computers: Music performance studies with automated discovery methods. *Musicae Scientiae*, 9(1):11–30, 2005.
- Gerhard Widmer. A machine learning analysis of expressive timing in pianists' performances of Schumann' "Träumerei". In Anders Friberg and Johan Sundberg, editors, *Proceedings of the KTH Symposion on Grammars for Music Performance*, pages 69–81. Department of Speech Communication and Music Acoustics, Stockholm, Sweden, 1995a.
- Gerhard Widmer. Modeling rational basis for musical expression. *Computer Music Journal*, 19(2): 76–96, 1995b.
- Gerhard Widmer. Learning expressive performance: The structure-level approach. *Journal of New Music Research*, 25(2):179–205, 1996.
- Gerhard Widmer and Werner Goebl. Computational models of expressive music performance: The state of the art. *Journal of New Music Research*, 33(3):203–216, 2004.
- Gerhard Widmer and Asmir Tobudic. Playing Mozart by analogy: Learning multi-level timing and dynamics strategies. *Journal of New Music Research*, 32(3):259–268, 2003.
- Gerhard Widmer, Simon Dixon, Werner Goebl, Elias Pampalk, and Asmir Tobudic. In search of the Horowitz factor. *AI Magazine*, 24(3):111–130, 2003.
- Luke Windsor. Data collection, experimental design, and statistics in musical research. In Eric F. Clarke and Nicholas Cook, editors, *Empirical Musicology. Aims, Methods, and Prospects*, pages 197–222. University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- Luke Windsor, Peter Desain, R. Aarts, Hank Heijink, and Renee Timmers. The timing of grace notes in skilled musical performance at different tempi. *Psychology of Music*, 29(2):149–169, 2001.